# **Deborah.Easterling**

From: Jocelyn.Boyd

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 7:28 AM

To: Deborah.Easterling

Cc: davido@sunstoresolar.com; pmlgrnlw@yahoo.com; brian.franklin@duke-energy.com;

timika.shafeek-horton@duke-energy.com; libbysmith@comcast.net; Edwards, Nanette;

Hudson, Shannon; chad.burgess@scana.com; Bholman@selcsc.org;

davido@sunstoresolar.com

**Subject:** FW: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club [mailto:information@sierraclub.org] On Behalf Of MaryRose Randall

Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 10:08 PM

To: Jocelyn.Boyd

Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Joceyln Boyd 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Boyd,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Although the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it does not preclude public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Net metering is very important to allow diverse sources of energy to be stored on the grid. They would not cost cooperatives money if the people producing the alternative power bought the meters. Solar, especially, would be most effective as a producer during hot, sunny weather, which is exactly when extra capacity is needed.

Also, the cap on solar production by private companies needs to be removed. You are currently actively hampering the development of this important renewable resource. You need to turn that around.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. MaryRose Randall 928 Falls Rd Rock Hill, SC 29730-6551

### Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of MaryRose Randall

<brandall@comporium.net>

Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 10:08 PM

**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Randall

**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Comer Randall 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Randall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Although the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it does not preclude public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Net metering is very important to allow diverse sources of energy to be stored on the grid. They would not cost cooperatives money if the people producing the alternative power bought the meters. Solar, especially, would be most effective as a producer during hot, sunny weather, which is exactly when extra capacity is needed.

Also, the cap on solar production by private companies needs to be removed. You are currently actively hampering the development of this important renewable resource. You need to turn that around.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

### Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of MaryRose Randall

<brandall@comporium.net>

Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 10:08 PM

**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Hall

**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Nikiya Hall 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Although the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it does not preclude public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Net metering is very important to allow diverse sources of energy to be stored on the grid. They would not cost cooperatives money if the people producing the alternative power bought the meters. Solar, especially, would be most effective as a producer during hot, sunny weather, which is exactly when extra capacity is needed.

Also, the cap on solar production by private companies needs to be removed. You are currently actively hampering the development of this important renewable resource. You need to turn that around.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

### Melissa.Purvis

From:

Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of MaryRose Randall

<brandall@comporium.net>

Sent:

Tuesday, September 24, 2013 10:08 PM

To:

PSC Commissioner. Howard

Subject:

Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

John Howard 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Howard,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Although the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it does not preclude public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Net metering is very important to allow diverse sources of energy to be stored on the grid. They would not cost cooperatives money if the people producing the alternative power bought the meters. Solar, especially, would be most effective as a producer during hot, sunny weather, which is exactly when extra capacity is needed.

Also, the cap on solar production by private companies needs to be removed. You are currently actively hampering the development of this important renewable resource. You need to turn that around.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

## **Nina.Gates**

From:

Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of MaryRose Randall

<brandall@comporium.net>

Sent:

Tuesday, September 24, 2013 10:08 PM

To:

**PSC Commissioner.Fleming** 

**Subject:** 

Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Elizabeth Fleming 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Fleming,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Although the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it does not preclude public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Net metering is very important to allow diverse sources of energy to be stored on the grid. They would not cost cooperatives money if the people producing the alternative power bought the meters. Solar, especially, would be most effective as a producer during hot, sunny weather, which is exactly when extra capacity is needed.

Also, the cap on solar production by private companies needs to be removed. You are currently actively hampering the development of this important renewable resource. You need to turn that around.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

### Nina.Gates

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of MaryRose Randall

<brandall@comporium.net>

Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 10:08 PM

**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Hamilton

Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

G. O'Neal Hamilton 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hamilton,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Although the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it does not preclude public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Net metering is very important to allow diverse sources of energy to be stored on the grid. They would not cost cooperatives money if the people producing the alternative power bought the meters. Solar, especially, would be most effective as a producer during hot, sunny weather, which is exactly when extra capacity is needed.

Also, the cap on solar production by private companies needs to be removed. You are currently actively hampering the development of this important renewable resource. You need to turn that around.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

### **Nina.Gates**

From:

Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of MaryRose Randall

<brandall@comporium.net>

Sent:

Tuesday, September 24, 2013 10:08 PM

To:

PSC Commissioner.Whitfield

Subject:

Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Swain Whitfield 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Whitfield,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Although the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it does not preclude public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Net metering is very important to allow diverse sources of energy to be stored on the grid. They would not cost cooperatives money if the people producing the alternative power bought the meters. Solar, especially, would be most effective as a producer during hot, sunny weather, which is exactly when extra capacity is needed.

Also, the cap on solar production by private companies needs to be removed. You are currently actively hampering the development of this important renewable resource. You need to turn that around.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,